

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,982	04/05/2004	Thomas P. Hansen	HANP101aUS 2981	
24041 75	04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
	,		1772	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/02/2004	•

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/708,982	HANSEN, THOMAS P.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alexander Thomas	1772				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	arch 2006.					
2a) <u></u>		action is non-final.					
3)	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	<ol> <li>Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ol>						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
		Cicolion requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. S have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	·				
_	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

**Art Unit: 1772** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The amendment filed 3/20/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the term "drilled" in paragraph [0030] is not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: the dependency of claim 19 needs to be corrected. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tripp ('630) in view of Willis 4,477,059. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a plurality of flexible strips helically wound upon one another to form a post or pole; see Figure 6, column 2, lines 33-38, column 3, lines 16-31 and column 3, lines 57-59. However, it does not disclose a transverse hole through the pole. The secondary reference discloses placing a transverse hole and a

**Art Unit: 1772** 

hollow tube through a post in order to form a fence; see Figure 4 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a transverse hole and place a hollow tube through the post in the product of the primary reference in view of the secondary reference, depending on the end use of the post, for example to form a fence. The primary reference also does not disclose encasing the post or pole. The primary reference does disclose encasing other embodiments (see for example Figures 7-12) and, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to encase the tubular member of the reference to provide additional strength and weather resistance. Concerning claim 5, the primary reference discloses the use of adhesive to adhere together the belts in the tubular article. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polymer adhesive as the adhesive in the article of the primary reference since it is well known in the adhesive art to form adhesives from polymers.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

**Art Unit: 1772** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cleferredy Werren

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS

PRIMARY EXAMINER